Download

Response

Count

Much

more

than

other

classes

Rating

Average

Create Survey My Surveys Address Book My Account Need Help?

You have a basic account.

To remove the limits of a basic account and get unlimited questions, upgrade now!

survey title: HCI 596 End of Term Evaluation Edit collect responses analyze results design survey current report: Default Report 🛊 **View Summary Browse Responses Response Summary** Total Started Survey: 8 Total Completed Survey: 8 (100%) Filter Responses **Crosstab Responses** Page: HCI 596 End of Term Evaluation **Download Responses** 1. Which section of the course were you enrolled **Create Chart Download** Share Responses Response Response Percent Count 37.5% 3 On-campus Off-campus 62.5% 5 answered question 8 skipped question 0 2. What was your primary method of viewing **Create Chart Download** lectures? Response Response Percent Count Attending class in person 25.0% 2 Attending class live, remotely 50.0% through Adobe Connect Viewed archived videos 25.0% Did not view lectures 0.0% 0 Other (please specify) 0.0% 0 answered question 8 0 skipped question 3. Compared to other classes... **Create Chart**

Much

less

than

other

classes

Neutral

I learned	0.0%	12.5% (1)	12.5% (1)	50.0% (4)	25.0% (2)	3.88	8
I worked	0.0%	12.5% (1)	25.0% (2)	37.5% (3)	25.0% (2)	3.75	8
I enjoyed this class	0.0%	12.5% (1)	25.0% (2)	25.0% (2)	37.5% (3)	3.88	8
					answered	question	8
					skipped	question	0

I. Please rate the class content so f	ar:					Creat	te Chart	Download
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Readings were of a high quality	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	42.9% (3)	42.9%	0.0%	4.29	7
Readings were relevant to the course	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	14.3% (1)	85.7% (6)	0.0%	4.86	
Readings were interesting	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	42.9% (3)	42.9% (3)	0.0%	4.29	
Cooper & Tullis were useful to your current or future work	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	42.9% (3)	57.1% (4)	0.0%	4.57	
Dourish & optional readings provided a framework for understanding emerging practices in HCI	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	57.1% (4)	42.9% (3)	0.0%	4.43	-
Lectures and readings were highly connected	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	57.1% (4)	42.9% (3)	0.0%	4.43	
Lectures were relevant to the course learning objectives	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	42.9% (3)	57.1% (4)	0.0%	4.57	
Lectures were interesting	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	0.0%	57.1% (4)	28.6%	0.0%	4.00	
Lectures were useful to your current or future work	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	14.3% (1)	85.7% (6)	0.0%	4.86	
Lectures aided your understanding of emerging practices in HCI	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	71.4% (5)	28.6%	0.0%	4.29	
Class is well organized	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	28.6% (2)	57.1% (4)	14.3%	0.0%	3.86	
Class meets or exceeds expectations	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	57.1% (4)	28.6%	0.0%	4.14	
Attending class is worth your time	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	28.6% (2)	28.6%	42.9% (3)	0.0%	4.14	
Projects are challenging	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	14.3% (1)	71.4% (5)	0.0%	4.57	
Projects allow you to apply course topics	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	71.4% (5)	28.6%	0.0%	4.29	
					Hide	replies	Comments	

1.	There was quite a bit of work in this course; it became hard to keep up in the end.	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 9:34 AM	Find
2.	The selection of required books can't get any better. I think all of them are relevant in completing this class. Lectures were interesting in that social aspects were really emphasized, not typical to other HCI courses where you just tend to ignore "people" aspect.	Fri, Aug 7, 2009 7:55 AM	SFind
3.	There were too many projects. I think we could have just had two projects and then been able to take the concepts to a deeper level of understanding and applications. Especially because we have been exposed to the concepts in 521 and it would have been nice to take that knowledge to a deeper level of understanding.	Thu, Aug 6, 2009 7:32 PM	S Find
		answered question	•
		skipped question	1

					•			
	Not at all effective	Somewhat uneffective	Neutral	Somewhat effective	Very effective	N/A	Rating Average	Respons Count
Timeliness of feedback	0.0% (0)	42.9% (3)	0.0%	42.9% (3)	14.3%	0.0%	3.29	
Quality of feedback	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	42.9% (3)	57.1% (4)	0.0%	4.57	
Fairness of feedback	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	85.7% (6)	0.0%	4.71	
Engaging during lectures	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	28.6% (2)	71.4% (5)	0.0%	4.71	
Helpfulness in achieving learning goals	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	57.1% (4)	42.9%	0.0%	4.43	
Knowledge of material	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	28.6% (2)	71.4% (5)	0.0%	4.71	
Balance between opinion and fact	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	28.6% (2)	28.6% (2)	42.9% (3)	0.0%	4.14	
Ability to lead discussion	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	57.1% (4)	28.6%	0.0%	4.14	
Clarity of speaking	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	57.1% (4)	28.6%	0.0%	4.14	
Supporting your learning	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	42.9% (3)	57.1% (4)	0.0%	4.57	
Encourage/challenge you to higher quality work	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	42.9% (3)	42.9% (3)	0.0%	4.29	
					Hide	replies	Comments	
Mike always responded to my en was always in a timely manner.	nails with ver	ry helpful inform	nation; and	his response	Mon, Aug	10, 2009	9:34 AM	🦣 Find

teaching style is that it is hard to know what he is looking for at times. I also think that he has a right and wrong way in his mind and it is difficult to completely understand

-		•				
1	answered question					

skipped question

6. Please select the descriptors that best fit how **Create Chart Download** you felt about the experimental grading scheme for the individual projects (where you chose the weighting of each component of your score--design, implementation, and evaluation): Response Response Percent Count Provided a low-risk way to try 71.4% 5 something outside of my expertise Allowed me to specialize in my 85.7% 6 area of interest Allowed me to ignore parts of the 42.9% 3 project I wasn't interested in I saw no benefit of this grading 0 0.0% scheme answered question 7 skipped question

the justification for the "right" way. Maybe examples of highly rated work and why.

7. Please use the scale below to rate	Crea	Create Chart				
	Very Infrequently (1)	Infrequently (2)	Frequently	Very Frequently	Rating Average	Response Count
Read required readings	14.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	71.4% (5)	14.3% (1)	2.86	7
Read optional readings	57.1% (4)	14.3% (1)	28.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	1.71	7
Read related readings (e.g. found additional readings on course topics on your own)	14.3% (1)	28.6% (2)	42.9% (3)	14.3% (1)	2.57	7
Attended class	0.0% (0)	14.3% (1)	28.6% (2)	57.1% (4)	3.43	7
Participated in class discussions	0.0% (0)	28.6% (2)	14.3% (1)	57.1% (4)	3.29	7
Met with your service design group	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	42.9% (3)	57.1% (4)	3.57	7
Read blog posts of classmates	14.3% (1)	14.3% (1)	28.6% (2)	42.9% (3)	3.00	7
				Hide replies	Comments	3
I did not attend class as I tend to archived videos.	get home late;	but I watched th	e Mor	n, Aug 10, 2009	9:34 AM	C Find
Very surprised how few class blo to more interaction that way.	og posts there w	ere. Was looking	g forward Sat	, Aug 8, 2009 2	:19 PM	C Find
3. It was a lot on top of the project the field.	work but importa	ant and relevant	reads for Thu	, Aug 6, 2009 ī	7:32 PM	Find

8. If this class is taught again in the you recommend it to a friend?	future, would	Create Chart	Download
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		71.4%	5
No		0.0%	0
Unsure		28.6%	2
		answered question	7
		skipped question	1

9. Please enter any other question/comments/suggestions here: **Download** Response Count Hide replies 3 1. Very challenging class and required me Mon, Aug 10, 2009 9:34 AM to think or look into new topics. Definitely increased my interest in HCI. Find... 2. General Course Thoughts: Fri, Aug 7, 2009 7:55 AM Service Design (SD) is very different ideas that it interested me greatly. I wished there were more readings available to learn. I realize it is more of analyzing all aspects of process. I would also liked to see an actual SDs from real situation. I would like to see how they started the project and they ended up as a final design . That would really helped to understand the concept. Once a week class was fine, but summer may not be a good time to offer. Or not. I am feeling both ways though. I guess I wanted more (learning more about SDs). Project in General: I think i got lucky with taking evaluation course prior to taking this class. As I did complete each project, it would be really needed to know or have done any usability tests before. I would think evaluation course should be required to complete the projects. The flexibility of projects were fine, but I really wished having more guidelines

with how the project reports were expected. I wanted to do well and put a lot of time on the projects. I am not

saying how many pages for each project or what particular usability we have to use. Those flexibilities (selecting right test to use) made me think more to actually complete the projects. The rapid prototyping was fine also. I wished I was able to do more with that.

Also I appreciated the flex grading scheme on the projects. That system encouraged me to do other parts that are not comfortable and work harder on the parts that were comfortable. Being generalist should be really encouraged to other students in the future.

All of the projects were very challenging. I felt disappointed that I didn't have much time to complete the last project (CHI challenge). I personally wished a way to follow through and see how it goes. I guess I can try the CHI challenge. It was a big project to be the last one though.

Group Projects:

I enjoyed working with other team members who contributed greatly. I got luck on that. Now for the SD project, it would be really neat to actually help actual business and go through the whole project that way. I have seen/taken courses that were service learning driven. I think grad course is appropriate, particularly this course. This would serve both purpose; service learning for students to go out and actually working with client and promoting HCI to Ames and other cities. I personally think some students really should go out and work with people.

Over all, great class and certainly challenged me (one tough summer to remember). Oh, yeah. Mike, you are one of the toughest grader out there, but very fair and thoughtful. No one should complain with that. Please don't change that as you teach more courses. I think students would need that kind of professor.

Take care!

3. I strongly believe the course material (readings, projects, discussions, etc.) are critical to the HCI discipline and for this reason I would strongly recommend it to all HCI students. The grading and work load was frustrating and I would warn future students of this. I would also stress that students must take 521 as a prereq for two reasons: (1) they can take the concepts to a deeper level, and (2) the class

Thu, Aug 6, 2009 7:32 PM



discussions can build on previous knowledge and concepts and not be as dependent on having read the material.		
а	nswered question	3

About Us Anti-Spam Policy Terms of Use Privacy Statement Opt Out/Opt In Contact Us We're Hiring!

Copyright ©1999-2010 SurveyMonkey.com. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this site may be copied without the express written consent of SurveyMonkey.com. 37